UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,349	03/28/2007	Erik T. Thostenson	UOD-150US	2483
66469 RATNERPRES	7590 04/02/200 STIA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1596		BLADES, JOHN A		
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4122	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/567,349	THOSTENSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOHN BLADES	4122				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
·=	_					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 and 19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02/06/06</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date () ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/24/06, 09/16/08. 6) ☐ Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group II, claim(s) 1-14 & 19, drawn to a method of producing nanocomposites.

Group II, claim(s) 15, drawn to a film made from the nanocomposites of I.

Group III, claim(s) 16-18, drawn to an aligned nanocomposite.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II, and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the shared elements of Groups I, II, and III (a plurality of nanotubes which are mixed into a polymer matrix) are not special technical features as they do not define a novel contribution to the art.

Application/Control Number:

10/567,349

Art Unit: 4122

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 3

- 3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.
- 4. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction

Application/Control Number:

10/567,349

Art Unit: 4122

Page 4

requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable

product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order

to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is

advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require

the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the

right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting

rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is

withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

5. During a telephone conversation with Frank Tise on 03/24/09 a provisional

election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of III, claims 16-18

(aligned nanocomposite). Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant

in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-15 & 19 are withdrawn from further

consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected

invention.

Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

applicant should scan for typographical errors such as "...between 500 nm and 2

 $\Box m...$ " [0092] and "...FIGS. 22A and 22A..." [0105]. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Haggenmueller et al.*, Aligned single-wall carbon nanotubes in composites by melt processing methods.
- 9. The prior art discloses a composite comprising nanotubes dispersed throughout a thermoplastic polymer, which is melt-spun (a process that would inherently produce continuous thin ribbon) and the nanotubes are mechanically aligned in a principal direction with a sufficiently high degree of orientation in the principal direction (throughout, see abstract).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHN BLADES whose telephone number is (571)270-7661. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30AM-5PM (except alt. Fri.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571)272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/567,349

Art Unit: 4122

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 7

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to

the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

571-272-1000.

/J.B./

Patent Examiner

/Timothy J. Kugel/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796